



TECHNOLOGY DRIVEN. WARFIGHTER FOCUSED.

Replacement for Cadmium Plating and Hexavalent Chromium on Fasteners and Electrical Connectors

February 10, 2010

I. Carl Handsy, Dan Nymberg, Scott Porter

maintaining the data needed, and c including suggestions for reducing	lection of information is estimated to ompleting and reviewing the collect this burden, to Washington Headqu uld be aware that notwithstanding an DMB control number.	ion of information. Send comment arters Services, Directorate for Inf	s regarding this burden estimate formation Operations and Reports	or any other aspect of the property of the contract of the con	nis collection of information, Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington	
1. REPORT DATE 10 FEB 2010		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVE 00-00-201 0	TRED () to 00-00-2010	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER		
Replacement for Cadmium Plating and Hexavalent Chromium on Fasteners and Electrical Connectors					5b. GRANT NUMBER	
rasteners and electrical Connectors				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S)			5d. PROJECT NUMBER			
			5e. TASK NUMBER			
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
U.S. Army Tank-A	OM,AMSTA-TR-E1		E Mile	8. PERFORMING REPORT NUMB	G ORGANIZATION ER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)			
					11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAII Approved for publ	LABILITY STATEMENT ic release; distributi	ion unlimited				
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NO 2010 U.S. Army Co	otes orrosion Summit, H	untsville, AL, 9-11	Feb			
14. ABSTRACT						
15. SUBJECT TERMS						
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON	
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	Same as Report (SAR)	21	S. S. S. S. B. B. S.	

Report Documentation Page

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188



Outline



- Objective
- Guidance
- Background
- Technical
- Coordination
- Feedback
- Test Update/Future Testing
- Path Forward
- Q&A



Objective



- Replace cadmium plating and hexavalent chromium post treatment with high purity aluminum and trivalent chromium conversion coating
- The intention is to make high purity aluminum the default replacement for fasteners and electrical shell connectors currently plated with cadmium, where technically permissible.



Guidance



- Office of the Secretary of Defense Directive
 - "Approve the use of alternatives [to hexavalent chromium] where they can perform adequately for the intended application and operating environment."
- 29 CFR 1910.1200
 - Asbestos, beryllium, radioactive materials, hexavalent chromium, (electroplating and coatings), cadmium (electroplating), mercury, or other highly toxic or carcinogenic materials
- European Union (EU) RoHS and REACH legislation restricts or bans many hazardous materials including hexavalent chromium, cadmium, and lead. Currently, the legislation does apply to the Military.



Background



- Advantages of Cadmium
 - It works
 - Applies to majority of materials and uses
 - Cheap and available
- Disadvantages
 - Chromium and cadmium are carcinogens
 - DoD directives to eliminate both



Background - Alternative Choices



- Numerous alternatives
 - Zinc nickel (Zn/Ni)
 - Tin zinc (Sn/Zn)
 - Zinc (Zn)
 - Aluminum (AI)
- Commercially Organic Coatings (e.g.)
 - Magni
 - Elisha
 - Geomet
 - Xylon



Background (Cont'd)



Numerous coating choices may seem attractive; however...

- Creates complexity for design, maintenance, & supply
- No configuration control
- No comprehensive knowledge of new galvanic couples introduced in joint designs
- Potential torque conflicts
- Hardness of mating surfaces
- Example: A joint released with a Zn-rich inorganic coated (Geomet) bolt, a Zn-Ni washer, and a Ni coated nut, mated to an aluminum substrate, would result in a galvanic corrosion issue.



Background



- The good news is that we have many choices
 - Competition
 - Availability

- The bad news is that we have many choices
 - Material compatibility
 - Logistics tail
 - Compatibility with legacy systems such as existing halves of cadmium plated connectors



Technical Aluminum vs. Cadmium Plating



- Aluminum Corrosion Performance –equivalent at same thickness
- Heat Resistance Trivalent Chrome protects up to about 400 °F; Hexavalent Chrome fails at about 140 °F.
- Lubricity and Clamping is equivalent with friction modifier
- Galling low risk with friction modifiers
- Volume of aluminum corrosion product increases, but not significantly vs cadmium



Technical - Why aluminum? Galvanic series



Noble / Cathodic

- Platinum
- Gold
- Graphite
- Titanium
- Silver
- 18-8Mo stainless steel (passive)
- 18-8 stainless steel (passive)
- Chromium stainless steel 11-30% Cr (passive)
- Inconel (passive) (80Ni-13Cr-7Fe)
- Nickel (passive)
- Silver solder
- Monel (70Ni-30Cu)
- Cupronickels (60–90Cu, 40-10Ni)
- Bronzes (Cu-Sn)
- Copper
- Brasses (Cu-Zn)

- Inconel (active)
- Nickel (active)
- Tin
- Lead
- Lead-tin solders
- 18-8Mo stainless steel (active)
- 18-8 stainless steel (active)
- Ni-Resist (high-nickel cast iron)
- Chromium stainless steel, 13% Cr (active)
- Cast iron
- Steel or iron
- Aluminum alloy 2024
- Cadmium
- Aluminum alloy 1100
- Aluminum (high purity)
- Zinc
- Magnesium and magnesium alloys

Active / Anodic



RDECOM Technical - Plating Equipment / **Process Comparison**



	Cadmium	Aluminum	
Plating Tanks	Standard	Standard	
Anode	Standard	Standard	
Tooling	Standard	Standard	
Rectifier	Standard	Standard	
Gantry	Standard	Standard	
Plating Method	Rack or Barrel	Rack or Barrel	
Bath Chemistry	HAZMAT Restricted	HAZMAT Restricted	
Bath Chemistry	Aqueous Based	Non-aqueous	
Hydrogen Embrittlement	Yes - Coated parts require 23+ hr bake at about 400 °F	No - No post-bake needed	
Waste Stream Disposal	HAZMAT Landfill	Non-HAZMAT Blended Fuels	
Plating Line Enclosure	No	Yes - plus nitrogen blanket to keep air & moisture out	

TECHNOLOGY DRIVEN. WARFIGHTER FOCUSED.



Technical - Applications of High Purity Aluminum







Coordination



- TARDEC has received comments and questions from
 - Government
 - DLA
 - DSCC has not concurred with this initiative
 - US Navy
 - US Air Force
 - ASETS Defense 09
 - Industry
 - Aviation industry
 - Boeing, Pratt & Whitney, Lockheed
 - Fastener manufacturers
 - Ground Equipment OEMs
 - Electrical connector OEM
 - SAE



Coordination (Cont)



- Contract let with US2 Inc. for Business case/future costs and availability analysis
- Received list of 10 interested suppliers from survey performed by Automation Alley in Michigan who are interested in application of high purity aluminum coatings.



Technical and Business Feedback



- Why all DoD
- Aircraft community comments/perspective on introduction of different products/coating
- Business questions
- Technical questions



Business/Logistics Questions



Cost

- Implementation
- Life Cycle cost
 - Procurement, Maintenance, Tracking of HAZMAT, Disposal/DEMIL
- Availability
- Producibility
- Industrial supply base
- Capacity
 - Present, Future, Ramp up time
- Demand creation
- Competition



Technical Questions



- Corrosion/persistency of coating
- Galling
- Adequacy of testing
- Lubricant compatibility
- Processing
- Conductivity
- Aerospace requirements
- Rework and availability of alternatives
- Exposure to toluene in plating process



Test Update



- CTC Fastener study
 - Corrosion, lubricity, mechanical coupling and decoupling
- RESET program
 - Installed aluminum wheel studs on Stryker vehicles, inspected after 7 months in Hawaii







Future testing & development



- Quantify effects of Tri Chrome thickness on lubricity, wear resistance and corrosion
- Future development
 - Engineer combined durable and lubricious coating for large shell connector coupling and decoupling similar to current cadmium performance



Path Forward



- TARDEC continues to staff a DoD policy to the Office of the Secretary of Defense through the Army Corrosion Executive for the replacement of cadmium plated fasteners and electrical connectors with high-purity aluminum
- Need to evaluate present test methods with regard to material qualification
- Based on above study, need to re-evaluate test method in current MIL-Stds.
 - E.g., salt spray vs. cyclic corrosion testing, and outdoor exposure to correlate to field conditions and use



Cadmium/Hex-Chrome Replacement



Questions and Discussion